IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND NORTHERN DIVISION

Plaintiffs

Plaintiffs

V.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. RDB-02-CV-3224

THE "IMAGINE...!" YACHT, LLC, et al.

Defendants

*

THE "IMAGINE...!" YACHT, LLC, et al.

*

Defendants

*

THE "IMAGINE...!" YACHT, LLC, et al.

Third-Party Plaintiffs

V.

SHER & BLACKWELL, LLP

Third-Party Defendant

*

MOTION OF LATITUDE 38° LLC FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Latitude 38° LLC, by its attorneys David W. Skeen and Wright, Constable & Skeen, LLP, pursuant to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 moves for summary judgment and for reasons therefore states as follows:

1. Plaintiffs, Jeffrey F. and Donna Lawrence, have sued Latitude 38° LLC for hearing loss to plaintiff, Mr. Jeffrey Lawrence, allegedly arising out of the firing of a small cannon on board the Yacht IMAGINE on September 16,

2001 during a law firm outing on the Chesapeake Bay on board Yacht IMAGINE, a boat chartered by his law firm.

- Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint states four counts, including
 Negligence Count I, Breach of Contract Count II, Violation of Maryland
 Statute Count III, and Loss of Consortium Count IV.
- 3. As to Count I (Negligence), the undisputed facts will show that defendant, Latitude 38° LLC, was acting at all times herein as a charter broker only in connection with the booking of the trip. Latitude 38° LLC had no ownership in or operational control over the Yacht IMAGINE which was owned and operated by co-defendant Yacht Imagine LLC. It is also undisputed that Latitude 38° LLC had no knowledge of the existence of or firing of the cannon.
- 4. As to Count II, it is undisputed that the law firm of Sher & Blackwell, third-party defendant was the charterer of Yacht IMAGINE not Latitude 38° LLC. Under the Charter Agreement any contractual obligation under paragraph 5 are those of Sher & Blackwell and not Latitude 38° LLC as alleged in Count II.
- 5. As to Count III, Latitude 38° LLC did not fire or discharge an explosive device. As a matter of law Maryland Annotated Code Natural Resources Article § 8-725.4(b) is not applicable to Latitude 38° LLC, does not give rise to a private cause of action in any event, and is otherwise not operative in this case.

- 6. It is further undisputed that Count IV, Loss of Consortium, is a derivative claim from plaintiffs' other claims, and accordingly, fails if Counts I, II and III are dismissed.
- 7. For the reasons more fully set forth in the attached Memorandum of Law and exhibits attached thereto, there is no dispute of material fact and defendant, Latitude 38° LLC, is entitled to judgment of dismissal as a matter of law.

_____/s/ David W. Skeen

/s/

Wright, Constable & Skeen, L.L.P. One Charles Center, 16th Floor 100 N. Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201-3812 (410) 659-1307 Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff, Latitude 38°, LLC

NOTICE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 27th day February, 2004, copies of defendant, Latitude 38°, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment, and Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment with Exhibits, were served via e-filing and/or mailed, first class, postage prepaid to Murray I. Resnick, Esq. and Prabir Chakrabarty, Esq., Resnick & Abraham, L.L.C., One East Franklin Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, Attorneys for Plaintiffs; Robert H. Bouse, Jr., Esq., 201 N. Charles Street, Suite 2000, Baltimore,

Maryland 21201-4102, Attorney for defendant, The "Imagine...!" Yacht, LLC., and Eric N. Stravitz, Esq., 2015 R Street, NW, Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20009, Attorney for Third-Party Defendant, Sher & Blackwell.

____/s/ David W. Skeen #01084

DWS.LATITUDE.LAWRENCE.MEM.SUPP.MSJ.lan